

Poster Evaluation Criteria

I. **Technical:** Please score each item on a 1 - 4 scale, with 1 = marginally adequate, and 4 = excellent

Legibility (adequate font size, quality of printing, etc.)	1	2	3	4
Materials (materials create a polished presentation)	1	2	3	4
Overall visual appeal (layout of text & figures)	1	2	3	4

(1) Total technical score: _____

II. **Content:** Please score each item as indicated [1 - 4 scale, with 1 = marginally adequate, and 4 = excellent; or select Yes/No for items thusly rated].

Title (Effectively highlights the poster's subject matter)	1	2	3	4
Authors (Student + others listed; institutional affiliations listed)	No = 0, Yes = 1			
Abstract (Succinctly summarizes of project)	1	2	3	4
Introduction (Adequate background given constraints of medium)	1	2	3	4
Methods (Clear outline of procedures)	No = 0, Yes = 1			
Results (Adequate/convincing summary of findings? Reference to tables/figures as needed?)	No = 0, Yes = 1			
Tables & Figures (Effectively communicate key facts and concepts? Are captions next to corresponding table or figure?)	1	2	3	4
Conclusions/Future Directions	1	2	3	4
Acknowledgements / Funding Attributions listed (grant # displayed?)	No = 0, Yes = 1			

(2) Total content score: _____

III. **Oral Presentation:** Please score each item as indicated [1 - 4 scale, with 1 = marginally adequate, and 4 = excellent; or select Yes/No for items thusly rated]

Student was available to present the poster (please visit poster at least twice before awarding a score of zero)	No = 0, Yes = 1			
Student's grasp/understanding of materials	1	2	3	4
Student engaged the audience (Volunteered information or asked questions)	1	2	3	4

(3) Total presentation score: _____

TOTAL SCORE (1 + 2 + 3):